# Lefschetz Properties and Mixed Multiplicities

#### Thiago Holleben

Dalhousie University

May 3, 2023

Lefschetz properties have been studied in many different settings including:

- Kähler manifolds
- Sperner properties of posets
- Lozenge tilings
- Laplace equations

# Lefschetz Properties

Let  $S = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  and  $I = (x_1^{a_1}, \ldots, x_n^{a_n}) + I'$  a monomial ideal such that A = S/I is Artinian.

#### WLP

We say A has the Weak Lefschetz Property (WLP) in degree *i* if the multiplication map  $\times L : A_i \to A_{i+1}$  by the linear form  $L = x_1 + \cdots + x_n$  has full rank.

## Lefschetz Properties

Let  $S = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  and  $I = (x_1^{a_1}, \ldots, x_n^{a_n}) + I'$  a monomial ideal such that A = S/I is Artinian.

#### WLP

We say A has the Weak Lefschetz Property (WLP) in degree *i* if the multiplication map  $\times L : A_i \to A_{i+1}$  by the linear form  $L = x_1 + \cdots + x_n$  has full rank.

For example, if  $A = k[a, b, c, d]/(a^2, b^2, c^2, abd)$ :

 $A = k \oplus \langle a, b, c, d \rangle \oplus \langle ab, ac, ad, bc, bd, cd \rangle \oplus \langle abc, acd, bcd \rangle$ 

$$A_{1} \xrightarrow{a \ b \ c \ d} A_{2} \xrightarrow{ab \ c \ d} A_{2} \xrightarrow{ab \ c \ d} A_{2} \xrightarrow{ab \ ac \ ad \ bc \ bd \ cd} A_{2} \xrightarrow{ab \ ac \ ad \ bc \ bd \ cd} A_{3}$$

3/14

From the definition, we see that A has the WLP if and only if some matrices have full rank.

We can think of the columns/rows of a matrix as either:

- Exponents of monomials (product)
- Coefficients of linear forms (sum)

We will focus on the first idea.

From the definition, we see that A has the WLP if and only if some matrices have full rank.

We can think of the columns/rows of a matrix as either:

- Exponents of monomials (product)
- Coefficients of linear forms (sum)

We will focus on the first idea.

Our main goal is to describe the WLP of an algebra in terms of algebraic invariants of such ideals.

Let  $S = k[x_1, ..., x_n]$  and I a monomial ideal such that A = S/I is an Artinian ring.

### Definition

We denote by  $S_I$  the polynomial ring that has one variable for each nonzero monomial of A:

 $S_I := \mathbb{C}[t_m | m \text{ monomial } \notin I]$ 

Let  $S = k[x_1, ..., x_n]$  and I a monomial ideal such that A = S/I is an Artinian ring.

### Definition

We denote by  $S_I$  the polynomial ring that has one variable for each nonzero monomial of A:

$$S_I := \mathbb{C}[t_m | m \text{ monomial } \notin I]$$

#### Example

Let  $I = (x_1^2, ..., x_n^2)$ . Then

 $S_I = \mathbb{C}[t_m | m \text{ squarefree monomial in } n \text{ variables}]$ 

Let I' be a squarefree monomial ideal of  $S = k[x_1, ..., x_n]$  and A = S/I an artinian algebra, where  $I = (x_1^2, ..., x_n^2) + I'$ .

The matrices that appear in the study of the WLP of squarefree artinian reductions have very particular properties:

#### Proposition

Let A = S/I where I is a squarefree monomial ideal plus the squares of the variables in S. Then the matrices that represent the multiplication map by  $L = x_1 + \cdots + x_n$  have constant row sum.

Let S = k[a, b, c, d],  $I = (a^2, b^2, c^2, d^2, abd)$  and A = S/I. The multiplication map  $\times L : A_2 \rightarrow A_3$  is represented by the following matrix:

From the rows of the matrix above we define the equigenerated monomial ideal

$$I(2) = (\underbrace{t_{ab}t_{ac}t_{bc}}_{abc}, \underbrace{t_{ac}t_{ad}t_{cd}}_{acd}, \underbrace{t_{bc}t_{bd}t_{cd}}_{bcd})$$

The following well-known result from Ehrhart theory gives us a connection between rank of matrices and analytic spread:

#### Theorem

Let M be a matrix such that the sum of the entries in each row is constant equal to d. Then the analytic spread of the monomial ideal defined by the rows (as exponents) is equal to the rank of M.

The following well-known result from Ehrhart theory gives us a connection between rank of matrices and analytic spread:

#### Theorem

Let M be a matrix such that the sum of the entries in each row is constant equal to d. Then the analytic spread of the monomial ideal defined by the rows (as exponents) is equal to the rank of M.

## Corollary (-, 2023)

This means the WLP of squarefree reductions in characteristic 0 is equivalent to "some" monomial ideals having maximal analytic spread.

To study the WLP in positive characteristics, we still want to know if some matrix has full rank, but the entries are now taken over some field of nonzero characteristic.

To study the WLP in positive characteristics, we still want to know if some matrix has full rank, but the entries are now taken over some field of nonzero characteristic. A matrix that has full rank in characteristic zero does not necessarily have full rank in positive characteristic:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

the problem is exactly in the characteristics that divide the nonzero maximal minors  $% \left( {{{\mathbf{x}}_{i}}} \right)$ 

Let  $S = k[x_0, ..., x_n]$ ,  $\mathfrak{m} = (x_0, ..., x_n)$  and J an arbitrary ideal of S. The multigraded Hilbert polynomial of the algebra

$${\mathcal R}({\mathfrak m}|J) = igoplus_{(u,v) \in {\mathbb N}^2} {\mathfrak m}^u J^v / {\mathfrak m}^{u+1} J^v$$

can be written as

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} e_{(n-i,i)}(\mathfrak{m}|J)u^{n-i}v^{i} + \text{ terms of lower degree}$$

The nonnegative numbers  $e_{(n-i,i)}(\mathfrak{m}|J)$  are called the mixed multiplicities of  $\mathfrak{m}$  and J.

In 2001, Trung showed that the indices for which the mixed multiplicities of  $\mathfrak{m}$  and an ideal J in a polynomial ring are positive depend only on the analytic spread of J.

In 2001, Trung showed that the indices for which the mixed multiplicities of  $\mathfrak{m}$  and an ideal J in a polynomial ring are positive depend only on the analytic spread of J.

In 2007, Trung and Verma showed that the determinant of a matrix with constant row sum can be computed as a mixed multiplicity of an equigenerated monomial ideal.

In 2001, Trung showed that the indices for which the mixed multiplicities of  $\mathfrak{m}$  and an ideal J in a polynomial ring are positive depend only on the analytic spread of J.

In 2007, Trung and Verma showed that the determinant of a matrix with constant row sum can be computed as a mixed multiplicity of an equigenerated monomial ideal.

Using these results we can describe the failure of the WLP in positive characteristics for squarefree reductions in terms of mixed multiplicities.

#### Theorem (-, 2023)

Consider the artinian algebra  $A = k[x_1, ..., x_n]/(I + (x_1^2, ..., x_n^2))$  where I is a squarefree monomial ideal. Assume dim  $A_2 \ge \dim A_1$ . Then A either has the WLP in degree 1 in every characteristic  $\neq 2$ , or A fails the WLP in every characteristic.

#### Theorem (-, 2023)

Consider the artinian algebra  $A = k[x_1, ..., x_n]/(I + (x_1^2, ..., x_n^2))$  where I is a squarefree monomial ideal. Assume dim  $A_2 \ge \dim A_1$ . Then A either has the WLP in degree 1 in every characteristic  $\neq 2$ , or A fails the WLP in every characteristic.

Moreover, the following are known:

 WLP in characteristic 2 of squarefree reductions is related to simplicial homology (Migliore, Nagel and Schenck - 2017)

#### Theorem (-, 2023)

Consider the artinian algebra  $A = k[x_1, ..., x_n]/(I + (x_1^2, ..., x_n^2))$  where I is a squarefree monomial ideal. Assume dim  $A_2 \ge \dim A_1$ . Then A either has the WLP in degree 1 in every characteristic  $\neq 2$ , or A fails the WLP in every characteristic.

Moreover, the following are known:

- WLP in characteristic 2 of squarefree reductions is related to simplicial homology (Migliore, Nagel and Schenck - 2017)
- A criterion for the WLP in degree 1 and characteristic 0 is known in combinatorial terms (Dao and Nair - 2021)

# An example

Consider the following simplicial complex  $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ 



Then  $A = k[a, b, c, d]/(a^2, b^2, c^2, d^2, abd)$ .

# An example

Д

Consider the following simplicial complex  $\Delta$ 



Then  $A = k[a, b, c, d]/(a^2, b^2, c^2, d^2, abd)$ . To check for WLP in degree 1 we need to check if the following matrix has full rank:

$$A_{1} \xrightarrow{a \ b \ c \ d} A_{2} \xrightarrow{ab \ c \ d} A_{2} = A$$

From the matrix we can define the ideal:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} a & b & c & d \\ ab & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ ac & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ ad & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ bc & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ bd & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ cd & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array}$$

$$I(1) = (t_a t_b, t_a t_c, t_a t_d, t_b t_c, t_b t_d, t_c t_d)$$

From the matrix we can define the ideal:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} a & b & c & d \\ ab & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ ac & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ ad & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ bc & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ bd & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ cd & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array}$$

$$I(1) = (t_a t_b, t_a t_c, t_a t_d, t_b t_c, t_b t_d, t_c t_d)$$

The analytic spread of I(1) is 4, in particular the matrix has full rank